## The Enthalpy of Formation of Pyracylene

Hermínio P. Diogo,<sup>†</sup> Gabriele Persy,<sup>‡</sup> Manuel E. Minas da Piedade,\*,† and Jakob Wirz<sup>‡</sup>

Centro de Química Estrutural, Complexo I, Instituto Superior Tecnico, 1096 Lisboa Codex, Portugal, and Institute für Physikalische Chemie der Universität Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 80, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

## Received December 8, 1995

Pyracylene 1 is a key compound in the context of aromaticity concepts and as a substructure of the fullerenes.<sup>1,2</sup> The published enthalpies of formation of pyracylene in the gaseous state obtained by various theoretical methods<sup>3-6</sup> span a range of ca. 100 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Such discrepancies call for an experimentally determined value of  $\Delta_f H^{\circ}_m(1, g, 298 \text{ K})$ . Until recently, however, it was impossible to produce a solid sample of 1 suitable for combustion calorimetry.<sup>3</sup> The compound was first prepared in solution, about 20 years ago, by Trost and co-workers, in their search for experimental evidence of the antiaromatic character of cyclic 4n systems.<sup>7</sup> The attempts to isolate 1 in the solid state by these authors always produced amorphous polymers.7a This apparent instability of 1 in the solid state was in line with the proposed antiaromatic character of the molecule<sup>7</sup> and also, for example, with the results of Aihara and Hosoya, who calculated the resonance energy for a considerable number of polycyclic unsaturated hydrocarbons (PUHs) and concluded that 1 was by far the least stable of the compounds studied.<sup>8</sup> These results led to the general idea that 1 was a very unstable compound that could not be isolated in the solid state.<sup>9</sup> It was recently found, however, that 1 could be isolated in the solid state from the pyrolysis of pyrene quinones, and stored for several

(8) (a) Aihara, J. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 1451. (b) Aihara, J.; Hosoya, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1988**, *61*, 2657.

days at room temperature or for years at -20 °C without decomposition.<sup>3</sup>

Herein we report the first experimental determination of the enthalpy of formation of 1 in the crystalline state



and propose what we believe to be a reliable value for  $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ}(1, g, 298 \text{ K})$ . This value is compared to the predictions of various theoretical methods.

## **Experimental Section**

The pyracylene sample used in this work was prepared and purified as described previously.3 A final purification by sublimation at 65–70 °C and  $10^{-3}$  Torr gave 1, which was free of impurities as judged by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and UV-vis spectroscopy.

The standard energy of combustion of **1** was measured using a microcombustion calorimeter.<sup>10</sup> This apparatus has been successfully used before, e.g., for  $C_{60}$ .<sup>11</sup> In a typical calorimetric experiment, a pellet of 1 was placed in a platinum crucible containing *n*-hexadecane (B.D.H., used as combustion aid) and burned under 30 atm of oxygen (ArLíquido N45, mass fraction > 0.9995) with 50  $\mu L$  of water inside the stainless steel bomb of 17.95 cm<sup>3</sup> internal volume. The HNO<sub>3</sub> formed from traces of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> inside the bomb was determined as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, using a Dionex 4000i ion chromatography apparatus.

## **Results and Discussion**

The auxiliary data needed to calculate the mass of the sample from its apparent mass in air and to calculate the standard states correction<sup>12</sup> are as follows. For *n*-hexadecane:  $\Delta_{c}u^{\circ} = -(47150.10 \pm 1.33) \text{ J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , <sup>11</sup> density = 0.773 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>, <sup>13</sup> specific heat capacity = 2.216 J·K<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>13</sup> and  $-(\partial u/\partial p)_T = 0.0352 \text{ J}\cdot \text{atm}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1.14}$  For 1: density = 1.307 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>,<sup>3</sup> specific heat capacity = 1.10 J·K<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>15</sup> and  $-(\partial u/\partial p)_T = 0.012 \text{ J}\cdot \text{atm}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1.16}$  The energy equivalent of the calorimeter and its standard deviation,  $\epsilon$ (calor) =  $1809.67 \pm 0.36 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ , had been previously determined from the combustion of benzoic acid (B.D.H.), whose energy of combustion under certificate conditions was  $-(26433 \pm 2) \text{ J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}.$ 

(13) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 74th ed.; Lide, D. R., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1993-94.

Instituto Superior Técnico.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Institute für Physikalische Chemie der Universität Basel.

<sup>(1)</sup> See, for example: (a) Streitwieser, A., Jr. *Molecular Orbital Theory for Organic Chemists*; John Wiley: New York, 1961. (b) Minkin, V. I.; Glukhovtsev, M. N.; Simkin, B. Ya. *Aromaticity and Antiaroma*ticity; John Wiley: New York, 1994.

<sup>(2)</sup> See, for example: (a) The Fullerenes, New Horizons for the Chemistry Physics and Astrophysics of Carbon; Kroto, H. W., Walton, D. R. M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1993. (b) The *Fullerenes*; Kroto, H. W., Fischer, J. E., Cox, D. E., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1993. (c) *Buckminsterfullerenes*; Billups, W. E., Ciufolini, M. A., Eds.; VCH: New York, 1993. (d) Sokolov, V. I. *Russ. Chem. Bull*. 1993, 42, 1. (e) Matsuzawa, N.; Ata, M.; Dixon, D. A.; Fitzgerald, G. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 2555. (f) Warner, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11059. (g) Yoshida, M.; Ōsawa, E. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 2083. (h) Solà, M.; Mestres, J.; Duran, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 10752

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Freiermuth, B.; Gerber, S.; Riesen, A.; Wirz, J.; Zehnder, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 738. (b) The effect of the purification steps described in ref 3a was tested in that work by analytical GC (Hewlett-Packard 8500, methyl–5% phenyl silicone column, 12 m long and 0.35 mm internal diameter) and by HPLC (reversed-phase column Merck LiChrosorb RB-8; eluent 60% acetonitrile-40% water). The only impurity detected after the chromatography step (Alumina/AgNO<sub>3</sub>) was a small amount of 1,2-dihydropyracylene (<1%). The latter can be easily and sensitively detected by its structured UV absorption in the (a) Status in the provide the provided and the p

<sup>179.</sup> 

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Trost, B. M.; Brigth, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4244. (b) Trost, B. M.; Brigth, G. M.; Frihart, C.; Britteli, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 737. (c) Trost, B. M. In *Topics in Non-benzenoid Aromatic* Chemistry; Nozoe, T., et al., Eds.; John Wiley: New York, 1973; Vol. I, p 243.

<sup>(9)</sup> In the latest edition of the widely used textbook by March (March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry, 4th ed.; John Wiley: New York, 1992, p 65), pyracylene is referred to as an unstable compound impossible to isolate in the solid state, and its instability is attributed to the strain in the molecule. Interestingly, a thermodynamic study of the stability of PUHs in the gas phase at high temperatures (ref 4) suggests that pyracylene is the most stable hydrocarbon of composition

 $C_{14}H_8$  in the range 1500–3000 K. (10) Diogo, H. P.; Minas da Piedade, M. E. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 1995, 27, 197.

<sup>(11)</sup> Diogo, H. P.; Minas da Piedade, M. E.; Dennis, T. J. S.; Hare, J. P.; Kroto, H. W.; Taylor, R.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1993, 89, 3541.

<sup>(12)</sup> Hubbard, W. N.; Scott, D. W.; Waddington, G. In Experimental Thermochemistry; Rossini, F. D., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1956; p 75.

<sup>(14)</sup> Calculated from the variation of the density of *n*-hexadecane with the temperature reported in: Vargaftik, N. B. *Tables on the Thermophysical Properties of Liquids and Gases*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley: New York, 1975.

<sup>(15)</sup> The specific heat capacity of pyracylene at 298.15 K was measured in this work using a DSC 7 from Perkin-Elmer.

<sup>(16)</sup> Assumed to be identical to the corresponding value for benzoic acid reported in: Hu, A. T.; Sinke, G. C.; Månsson, M.; Ringnér, B. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1972, 4, 283.

Table 1. Results of the Combustion Experiments on Pyracylene (1)<sup>a</sup>

			•	0	
<i>m</i> ( <b>1</b> )/mg	9.4452	16.0670	20.6345	17.0216	17.2085
$m(C_{16}H_{34})/mg$	2.3812	4.4741	1.9480	1.8438	1.8957
$n(\text{HNO}_3) \times 10^6/\text{mol}$	2.01	2.18	5.20	1.50	2.46
$\epsilon^{i}$ /J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup>	0.857	0.869	0.868	0.864	0.864
$\epsilon^{\mathrm{f}}/\mathrm{J}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	0.876	0.903	0.899	0.891	0.891
$T_{\rm i}/{ m K}$	297.680 96	297.790 69	297.694 11	297.667 66	297.696 65
$T_{\rm f}/{ m K}$	298.286 26	298.418 05	298.368 44	298.278 86	298.306 67
$\Delta T_{\rm c}/{\rm K}$	0.185 73	0.158 51	0.171 35	0.189 92	0.183 31
$\Delta T_{\rm ad}/{ m K}$	0.269 57	0.468 85	0.502 98	0.421 29	0.426 72
$\Delta U_{ m IBP}/ m J$	-488.06	-848.09	-910.67	-762.76	-772.59
$\Delta U_{ m ign}/ m J$	1.76	1.76	1.76	1.76	1.76
∆ <i>U</i> (HNO <sub>3</sub> )/J	0.12	0.13	0.31	0.09	0.15
$\Delta U_{\Sigma}/J$	0.24	0.43	0.52	0.42	0.42
$\Delta U(C_{16}H_{34})/J$	-112.28	-210.96	-91.85	-86.94	-89.38
$\Delta U(1)/J$	-373.66	-635.59	-816.23	-673.55	-680.88
$\Delta_{\rm c} u^{\circ}(1)/{ m J}\cdot{ m g}^{-1}$	$-39\ 560.84$	$-39\ 558.72$	-39556.57	$-39\ 570.31$	$-39\ 566.49$

 ${}^{a} \epsilon^{i}$  and  $\epsilon^{f}$  represent the energy equivalent of the system in the initial and final states,  $T_{i}$  and  $T_{f}$  are the initial and final temperatures,  $\Delta T_{c}$  is the correction to the temperature rise,  $\Delta T_{ad}$  is the adiabatic temperature rise,  $\Delta U_{IBP}$  is the energy change of the internal bomb process,  $\Delta U_{ign}$  is the energy change associated to the ignition of the sample,  $\Delta U(HNO_3)$  is the energy change associated to the formation of nitric acid,  $\Delta U_{\Sigma}$  represents the standard states correction,  $\Delta U(C_{16}H_{34})$  and  $\Delta U(1)$  are the contributions of *n*-hexadecane and pyracylene, respectively, toward the total energy change, and  $\Delta_{c}u^{\circ}(1)$  is the standard massic energy of combustion of pyracylene.

The results of the individual combustion experiments on **1** are listed in Table 1. The mean value of the standard massic energies of combustion of **1** in Table 1 is  $\Delta_c u^{\circ}(\mathbf{1}) = -(39562.59 \pm 2.54) \text{ J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to  $\Delta_c U^{\circ}_{\text{m}}(\mathbf{1}) = -(6971.6 \pm 3.1) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta_c U^{\circ}_{\text{m}}(\mathbf{1}) =$  $-(6971.6 \pm 3.1) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . These values refer to the reaction in eq 1, at 298.15 K. The uncertainties in  $\Delta_c U^{\circ}_{\text{m}}$ 

$$1(cr) + 16O_2(g) \rightarrow 14CO_2(g) + 4H_2O(l)$$
 (1)

(1) and  $\Delta_c H_m^{\circ}(1)$  include the contributions from the calibration and the energy of combustion of *n*-hexadecane.<sup>17</sup> Using  $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ}(CO_2, g) = -(393.51 \pm 0.13) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{ls}$  and  $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ}(H_2O, l) = -(285.830 \pm 0.040) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{ls}$  then  $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ}(1, \text{ cr}, 298 \text{ K}) = 324.1 \pm 3.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  is obtained.

An attempt was made to determine the enthalpy of sublimation of **1** using a method based on the measurement of the absorbance of a vapor in equilibrium with its solid as a function of the temperature.<sup>19</sup> After the vapor was monitored for 2 days in the temperature range 323–398 K it was found that solid pyracylene had decomposed inside the cell and the results of the experi-

Table 2.Comparison between Experimental andTheoretical Results for the Enthalpy of Formation ofPyracylene (1) in the Gaseous State (Data in kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>)

$\Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}({\bf 1},{\rm g})$	method		
$409.0\pm 6.2^a$	experimental		
366 <sup>b</sup>	group additivity		
369 <sup>c</sup>	group additivity		
$410^{d}$	MMP2		
431 <sup>e</sup>	6-31G*(RMP2-FC)//6-31G*		
$433^{e}$	6-31G*(SCF)		
$461^{d}$	MNDO		
503 <sup>f</sup>	PM3		

<sup>*a*</sup> This work. <sup>*b*</sup> Reference 4. <sup>*c*</sup> Reference 6. <sup>*d*</sup> Reference 3. <sup>*e*</sup> Reference 5. <sup>*f*</sup> Obtained in this work using the AMPAC/MOPAC version 6.0 with the PM3 Hamiltonian.

ment had to be discarded. The value of the enthalpy of sublimation of 1,  $\Delta_{cr}^{g}H_{m}^{o}(1, 298 \text{ K}) = 84.9 \pm 5.0 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ was, therefore, estimated using the methods proposed by Morawetz and by Gavezzotti and Desiraju.<sup>20</sup> This value and the enthalpy of formation of 1 in the crystalline state given above lead to  $\Delta_f H_m^o(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{g}, 298 \text{ K}) = 409.0 \pm 6.2$ kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> which is compared in Table 2 with the results obtained by various theoretical methods. The MM2 molecular mechanics method, which also provides accurate calculations of the bond lengths of **1**,<sup>3</sup> predicts  $\Delta_f H_m^o(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{g}, 298 \text{ K}) = 410 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . This result is in excellent agreement with the value proposed in this paper. Ab initio 6-31G\* molecular orbital calculations (which lead to more accurate predictions of the bond lengths of 1 than the MM2 method)<sup>5</sup> and MNDO<sup>3</sup> and PM3 semiempirical methods give values that are substantially in excess of the value proposed in this paper. On the other hand, the group additivity schemes of Stein and Fahr<sup>4</sup> and of Moiseeva and Dorofeeva<sup>6</sup> lead to  $\Delta_f H^{\circ}_m$ -(1, g, 298 K) values that are much lower than the result obtained here.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by Junta Nacional de Investigação Científica e Tecnológica and Direcção Geral do Ambiente, Portugal (Projects PBIC/C/CEN/1042/92 and PEAM/P/TAI/285/93), and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

JO952182Z

<sup>(17) (</sup>a) Bjellerup, L. Acta Chem. Scand. 1961, 15, 121. (b) Olofsson,
G. Experimental Chemical Thermodynamics, Månsson, M., Sunner, S.,
Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1979; p 137.
(18) CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics, Cox, J. D., Wagman,

<sup>(18)</sup> *CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics*, Cox, J. D., Wagman, D. D., Medvedev, V. A., Eds.; Hemisphere: New York, 1989.

<sup>(19)</sup> Ilharco, L. M.; do Vale, A. F.; Lopes da Silva, J. *Rev. Port. Quim.* **1988**, *30*, 80.

<sup>(20)</sup> The Morawetz method (Morawetz, E. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **1972**, 4, 461), gives  $\Delta_{cr}^{g} H_{m}^{o}(1, 298 \text{ K}) = 81.1 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . The method proposed by Gavezzotti and Desiraju (Gavezzotti, A.; Desiraju, G. R. Acta Crystallogr. 1988, B44, 427) leads to  $\Delta_{cr}^{g}H_{m}^{s}(1, 298 \text{ K}) = 88.6$ kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. The reliability of the two estimation methods was tested using the experimental data in the compilation by Pedley (Pedley, J. B. Thermochemical Data and Structures of Organic Compounds; TRC Data Series: College Station, TX, 1994; Vol. J) for the following series of compounds: benzene, naphthalene, azulene, biphenylene, acenaphthylene, anthracene, pyrene, and fluoranthene. The mean values of the differences  $|\Delta^g_{cr} H^e_m(experimental) - \Delta^g_{cr} H^e_m(estimated)|$  found for the Morawetz method and the method of Gavezzotti and Desiraju are 1.7 and 4.7 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (the corresponding maximum deviations are 5 and 7 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>). The average of the two estimates referred to above,  $\Delta^g_{cr}\textit{H}^{\!\!o}_m(1,\,298~K)=84.9\pm5.0~kJ\cdot mol^{-1},$  was selected in this paper. The uncertainty quoted  $(\pm 5.0 \ kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$  was chosen to be an upper limit of the mean deviations indicated above (1.7 and 4.7 kJ·mol<sup>−1</sup>).